IN THE UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE FIFTH CIRCUIT

No. 17-10238

CHAMBER OF COMMERCE OF THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA; FINANCIAL SERVICES INSTITUTE, INCORPORATED; FINANCIAL SERVICES ROUNDTABLE; GREATER IRVING-LAS COLINAS CHAMBER OF COMMERCE; HUMBLE AREA CHAMBER OF COMMERCE, doing business as Lake Houston Chamber of Commerce; INSURED RETIREMENT INSTITUTE; LUBBOCK CHAMBER OF COMMERCE; SECURITIES INDUSTRY AND FINANCIAL MARKETS ASSOCIATION; TEXAS ASSOCIATION OF BUSINESS,

Plaintiffs - Appellants

v.

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF LABOR; R. ALEXANDER ACOSTA, SECRETARY, U.S. DEPARTMENT OF LABOR,

AMERICAN COUNCIL OF LIFE INSURERS; NATIONAL ASSOCIATION OF INSURANCE AND FINANCIAL ADVISORS; NATIONAL ASSOCIATION OF INSURANCE AND FINANCIAL ADVISORS - TEXAS; NATIONAL ASSOCIATION OF INSURANCE AND FINANCIAL ADVISORS - AMARILLO; NATIONAL ASSOCIATION OF INSURANCE AND FINANCIAL ADVISORS - DALLAS; NATIONAL ASSOCIATION OF INSURANCE AND FINANCIAL ADVISORS - FORT WORTH; NATIONAL ASSOCIATION OF INSURANCE AND FINANCIAL ADVISORS - GREAT SOUTHWEST; NATIONAL ASSOCIATION OF INSURANCE AND FINANCIAL ADVISORS - WICHITA FALLS:

Plaintiffs - Appellants

v.

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF LABOR; R. ALEXANDER ACOSTA, SECRETARY, U.S. DEPARTMENT OF LABOR,

Defendants - Appellees

INDEXED ANNUITY LEADERSHIP COUNCIL; LIFE INSURANCE COMPANY OF THE SOUTHWEST; AMERICAN EQUITY INVESTMENT LIFE INSURANCE COMPANY; MIDLAND NATIONAL LIFE INSURANCE COMPANY; NORTH AMERICAN COMPANY FOR LIFE AND HEALTH INSURANCE,

Plaintiffs - Appellants

v.

R. ALEXANDER ACOSTA, SECRETARY, U.S. DEPARTMENT OF LABOR; UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF LABOR,

Defendants - Appellees

Before STEWART, Chief Judge, JONES, and CLEMENT, Circuit Judges.¹

PER CURIAM:

This panel previously denied the States of California, New York, and Oregon's motion to intervene and file a rehearing en banc. The panel has considered the motion for reconsideration. IT IS ORDERED that the motion is DENIED.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the alternative motion to permit the filing of a petition for rehearing en banc seeking review of the Court's Order denying the motion to intervene by the full En Banc Court is DENIED.

¹ Chief Judge Stewart would grant the motion for reconsideration. Chief Judge Stewart agrees with denying the motion to intervene by the full en banc court.